

#8  
RECEIVED  
JUN 20 2002  
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: MATSUOKA=1

In re Application of:	)	Art Unit: 1614
	)	
H. MATSUOKA et al.	)	Examiner:
	)	
Appln. No.: 09/890,219	)	Washington, D.C.
	)	
Filed: December 12, 2001	)	June 18, 2002
	)	
For: SUBSTITUTED PHENETHYLAMINE	)	
DERIVATIVES	)	
	)	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir :

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 CFR §§1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application.

[X] 1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 CFR §1.97, as it is filed before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits.

[X] 2. In accordance with 37 CFR §1.98, this IDS includes a list (e.g., form PTO-1449) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each document listed is attached.

[X] 3. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).


[ ] 4. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

5. In accordance with 37 CFR §§1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant(s) reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By:

  
Sheridan Neimark  
Reg. No. 20,520

624 Ninth Street, N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20001-5303  
Telephone: (202) 628-5197  
Facsimile: (202) 737-3528  
SN:lt

# INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

**Complete if Known**

<b>Application Number</b>	09/890,219
<b>Filing Date</b>	December 12, 2001
<b>First Named Inventor</b>	H. MATSUOKA et al.
<b>Group Art Unit</b>	1614
<b>Examiner Name</b>	
<b>Attorney Docket Number</b>	MATSUOKA=18

Sheet	1	of	1
-------	---	----	---

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

[illegible]

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

[illegible]

Examiner  
Signature

Date  
Considered

<sup>1</sup> Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup> See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. <sup>3</sup> Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). <sup>4</sup> For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. <sup>5</sup> Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. <sup>6</sup> Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.